Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/877,035	MUNETSUGU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quoc A. Tran	2176
Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Quoc A. Tran (USPTO).	(3)	
(2) Ken Moore (Attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 March 2010	Time: 10AM EST	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: No		
Claims discussed: 1-4; 11-13; and 21-27		
Prior art documents discussed: No		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Quoc A. Tran/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/877,035

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this case. Applicant's Attorney agrees to the following: amending claims 1-4; 11; and 21-27. The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of the pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.